



International Journal of Agriculture Development

ISSN (Online): 3107-5347

IJAD 2025; 1(3): 17-23

2025 May - June

www.allagriculturejournal.com

Received: 20-04-2025

Accepted: 16-05-2025

Published: 04-06-2025

Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetable Supply Chains: A Case Study

Dr. J.S. Portenkdro

Independent Researcher, USA

Corresponding Author; **Dr. J.S. Portenkdro**

Abstract

Post-harvest losses of vegetables represent a major challenge to food security, farmer income, resource efficiency and sustainable agricultural development. Vegetables are highly perishable commodities with high moisture content, active metabolism and limited storage life. Losses can occur at harvesting, handling, grading, packaging, transportation, storage, wholesale, retail and household stages. This case study examines the major causes and management of post-harvest losses in vegetable supply chains, with particular emphasis on smallholder-oriented marketing systems in developing countries. The analysis considers biological, physical, technological, infrastructural and market-related factors contributing to quantitative and qualitative losses. Poor harvesting practices, mechanical damage, inadequate packaging, temperature abuse, inappropriate storage, transportation delays, lack of cold-chain infrastructure and market gluts are identified as important contributors. The study also examines potential interventions, including improved harvesting techniques, plastic crates, evaporative cooling, cold storage, refrigerated transportation, modified-atmosphere packaging, digital market information and better supply-chain coordination. Evidence indicates that post-harvest loss reduction requires an integrated approach rather than dependence on a single technology. Low-cost interventions at farm and collection levels can be particularly important for smallholders, while cold-chain infrastructure and improved logistics become increasingly important as supply chains become longer and more market-oriented. Reducing post-harvest losses can improve food availability without requiring equivalent increases in agricultural production and can simultaneously increase farmer returns and reduce unnecessary use of land, water, energy and agricultural inputs.

Keyword: Post-Harvest Losses, Vegetables, Supply Chain, Cold Chain, Food Loss, Storage, Transportation, Smallholder Farmers, Food Security

Introduction

Vegetables are essential components of healthy diets because they provide vitamins, minerals, dietary fibre, antioxidants and other bioactive compounds. However, vegetables are among the most perishable agricultural commodities. Their high moisture content, active respiration, soft tissues and susceptibility to mechanical injury make them particularly vulnerable to deterioration after harvest.

Post-harvest loss refers broadly to the reduction in quantity or quality of food occurring between harvest and consumption. Losses may involve physical deterioration, microbial decay, moisture loss, physiological disorders, nutritional degradation, contamination or rejection because products no longer meet market standards.

The problem is particularly important in developing countries where vegetable supply chains may involve multiple intermediaries, inadequate storage facilities, poor roads, limited refrigerated transportation and insufficient

access to post-harvest technologies. FAO has emphasized that reducing food loss and waste is important for improving food-system efficiency, food security and environmental sustainability ^[1].

Vegetable losses should not be considered exclusively as a farm-level problem. A vegetable supply chain normally includes producers, local collectors, wholesalers, transporters, retailers and consumers. Damage occurring at one stage can accelerate deterioration at subsequent stages. For example, bruising during harvesting may not immediately make a tomato visibly unmarketable, but damaged tissue can become more susceptible to microbial infection during transportation and storage.

Therefore, reducing post-harvest losses requires examination of the entire supply chain.

Objectives of the Case Study

The major objectives of this case study are:

1. To identify major sources of post-harvest losses in vegetable supply chains.
2. To examine biological, physical, technological and market-related causes of losses.
3. To describe the effects of post-harvest losses on farmers, traders, consumers and the environment.
4. To examine practical technologies for reducing vegetable losses.
5. To propose an integrated strategy for improving vegetable supply-chain efficiency.

Methodology

This case study is based on a review and synthesis of published scientific literature, international food-system reports and post-harvest management research. The analysis follows the movement of vegetables from harvesting through collection, grading, packaging, transportation, storage, wholesale, retail and final consumption.

The case-study framework is particularly relevant to smallholder-dominated vegetable supply chains in developing countries, where multiple actors and relatively limited access to post-harvest infrastructure can create substantial opportunities for loss reduction.

Because post-harvest loss estimates differ according to commodity, location, measurement method, season and supply-chain stage, reported percentages should not be generalized to all vegetable systems. Quantitative loss estimates should ideally be based on commodity- and location-specific measurements.

Structure of a Vegetable Supply Chain

A typical vegetable supply chain can be represented as:

Farmer → Local Collector → Village/Primary Market → Wholesaler → Transporter → Wholesale Market → Retailer → Consumer

In shorter chains, farmers may sell directly to consumers, retailers or institutional buyers.

At each stage, vegetables can experience:

- Physical damage;
- Water loss;
- Respiration and metabolic deterioration;
- Microbial infection;
- Temperature stress;
- Contamination;
- Quality deterioration;
- Rejection due to appearance; and
- Complete product loss.

The length and complexity of the supply chain influence the duration for which vegetables remain outside controlled environmental conditions.

Major Causes of Post-Harvest Losses

Improper Harvesting

Harvesting is the first major point at which post-harvest damage can occur.

Harvesting vegetables when they are excessively immature or overmature can reduce shelf life and market value. Rough handling, dropping produce into containers and harvesting during unsuitable environmental conditions can increase mechanical injury.

Sharp tools should be used for vegetables requiring cutting, while workers should avoid throwing or compressing harvested produce.

Harvesting during cooler parts of the day can sometimes reduce field heat, although the appropriate timing depends on crop and local production conditions.

5.2 Mechanical Damage

Mechanical injury includes:

- Cuts;
- Bruises;
- Abrasions;
- Cracks;
- Punctures;
- Compression Damage; And
- Impact Damage.

Mechanical injury is particularly important because it can initiate a chain of physiological and microbiological deterioration.

Bruised or cut tissue generally has greater susceptibility to microbial infection and accelerated physiological deterioration. Mechanical damage may therefore result in both immediate quality loss and subsequent quantitative loss.

Poor Packaging

Traditional packaging materials can expose vegetables to compression, impact and abrasion.

For example, excessive loading of vegetables into sacks can create compression damage in lower layers. In contrast, appropriately designed rigid plastic crates can provide ventilation and reduce compression compared with some traditional packaging systems.

Packaging should be selected according to commodity characteristics, transport distance, temperature and handling conditions.

Temperature Management

Temperature is one of the most important environmental factors controlling post-harvest deterioration.

After harvest, vegetables remain biologically active. Respiration consumes stored substrates and produces heat. Higher temperatures generally accelerate respiration and deterioration.

Rapid removal of field heat through appropriate cooling can extend shelf life for many commodities. The specific temperature requirement differs substantially among vegetables because some commodities are sensitive to chilling injury.

Consequently, simply storing all vegetables at very low temperature is inappropriate.

Water Loss

Fresh vegetables contain substantial amounts of water. Water loss causes:

- Wilting;
- Shrivelling;
- Loss of firmness;
- Reduced weight;
- Reduced visual quality; and
- Lower market value.

Relative humidity, temperature, air movement and packaging influence water loss.

Maintaining appropriate humidity can therefore be an important component of vegetable storage.

Microbial Deterioration

Fungi and bacteria can cause significant post-harvest decay, particularly where produce is injured or stored under unsuitable environmental conditions.

Microbial deterioration is promoted by:

- Mechanical injury;
- Excessive moisture;
- Poor sanitation;
- Inappropriate temperature;
- Contaminated containers;
- Contaminated water; and
- Prolonged storage.

Good hygiene throughout the supply chain is therefore essential.

Case Study: Tomato Supply Chain

Tomato is an appropriate case commodity because it is widely cultivated and traded while being highly susceptible to mechanical damage and rapid deterioration.

A simplified tomato supply chain can be described as:

Tomato producer → village collector → local wholesaler → transport → wholesale market → retailer → consumer

Farm Level

Farmers harvest tomatoes according to market requirements. Fruit intended for distant markets may be harvested at a different maturity stage from fruit intended for immediate local consumption.

Potential loss points include:

- Unsuitable maturity;
- Rough harvesting;
- Fruit dropping;
- Exposure to direct sunlight;
- Temporary field storage; and
- Inadequate containers.

Collection Level

Collectors aggregate tomatoes from multiple farms.

During aggregation, produce may be:

- Mixed by maturity;
- Compressed in containers;
- Exposed to heat;
- Repeatedly handled; and
- Sorted because of visible damage.

Repeated handling increases opportunities for mechanical injury.

Transportation

Transportation is a critical stage because vegetables may travel considerable distances before reaching wholesale markets.

Losses can result from:

- Poor road conditions;
- Excessive stacking;
- Inappropriate containers;
- High temperature;
- Long transit times;
- Vibration; and
- Delays at market entry.

The interaction between vibration and compression can be particularly damaging to soft vegetables.

Wholesale Market

Wholesale markets may involve substantial product handling. Tomatoes can be unloaded, inspected, sorted, repacked and reloaded.

Where market arrivals exceed demand, unsold vegetables may remain exposed to environmental conditions for extended periods.

Market gluts can therefore convert quality deterioration into economic losses.

Retail Stage

Retailers face a different set of challenges.

Tomatoes may be displayed for several days under ambient conditions. Repeated customer handling, direct sunlight, high temperatures and poor sanitation can accelerate deterioration. Retailers often remove damaged fruits from sale, resulting in economic loss even when the product is still potentially edible.

Classification of Post-Harvest Losses

Post-harvest losses can be classified into two broad categories.

Quantitative Loss

Quantitative loss refers to physical reduction in the amount of product available.

Examples include:

- Discarded vegetables;
- Rotten produce;
- Leakage;
- Weight loss caused by water loss; and
- Products damaged beyond saleability.

Qualitative Loss

Qualitative loss occurs when vegetables remain physically present but lose value or quality.

Examples include:

- Reduced firmness;
- Discoloration;
- Nutrient deterioration;
- Reduced flavour;
- Wilting;
- Bruising;
- Contamination; and
- Reduced consumer acceptability.

Qualitative losses may occur before complete physical loss and can have substantial economic consequences.

8. Economic Implications

Post-harvest losses represent lost economic value throughout the supply chain.

For farmers, losses reduce the quantity that can be sold. For wholesalers and retailers, deterioration reduces marketable inventory and increases sorting and disposal costs.

Consumers can also be affected when supply-chain losses contribute to reduced availability and higher marketing costs. Reducing losses can therefore improve food availability without requiring an equivalent expansion of cultivated area. FAO and other international organizations emphasize that reducing food losses can contribute to food-system efficiency and food security ^[1 2].

Nutritional Implications

Vegetable quality is not determined solely by weight or physical appearance.

Nutrient concentrations can change during storage because of continued metabolism, enzymatic activity and environmental exposure. Vitamin C and other sensitive compounds may decline under some storage conditions.

Therefore, reducing post-harvest losses can help preserve both the quantity and nutritional quality of vegetables reaching consumers.

However, nutrient changes are commodity-specific and depend strongly on temperature, maturity and storage duration.

Environmental Implications

Producing food that is subsequently lost represents inefficient use of agricultural resources.

Vegetable production requires:

- Land;
- Irrigation water;
- Fertilizers;
- Pesticides where used;
- Labour;
- Energy; and
- Transportation.

When harvested vegetables are lost, the resources used to produce them have generated little or no nutritional benefit. Food-loss reduction can therefore contribute to resource-use efficiency and lower the environmental burden associated with food production.

Technologies for Reducing Post-Harvest Losses

Improved Harvesting Tools

Appropriate harvesting tools can reduce cuts and bruising. Workers should be trained to handle produce carefully and avoid dropping containers or throwing harvested vegetables.

Field Heat Removal

Cooling vegetables shortly after harvest can slow respiration and deterioration.

Potential methods include:

- Shade cooling;
- Forced-air cooling;
- Hydro-cooling;
- Evaporative cooling;
- Room cooling; and
- Vacuum cooling for suitable commodities.

The appropriate technology depends on crop characteristics, investment capacity and local infrastructure.

Improved Packaging

Packaging should:

- Protect vegetables from impact;
- Permit ventilation;
- Avoid excessive compression;
- Facilitate stacking;
- Permit easy handling; and
- Be compatible with sanitation requirements.

Reusable plastic crates are increasingly used in many vegetable supply chains because they can provide structural protection and ventilation when properly designed and managed.

Cold-Chain Development

Cold-chain systems can include:

Pre-cooling → Cold storage → Refrigerated transportation → Temperature-controlled distribution

Cold chains can substantially improve shelf life for commodities that require temperature control.

However, cold-chain investment is relatively expensive and requires reliable electricity, maintenance, trained personnel and coordination across the supply chain.

Cold storage alone cannot compensate for poor harvesting and handling practices.

Evaporative Cooling

Evaporative cooling can be useful in areas where conventional refrigerated systems are economically or technically difficult to deploy.

These systems use evaporation of water to reduce temperature and can be particularly relevant for short-term storage at farm and collection levels.

Improved Transportation

Transportation losses can be reduced through:

- Suitable vehicles;
- Appropriate packaging;
- Reduced vibration;
- Proper stacking;
- Shorter transport duration;
- Protection from direct sunlight; and
- Improved road infrastructure.

For highly perishable vegetables, logistics speed is particularly important.

Digital Technologies and Supply-Chain Management

Digital technologies offer new opportunities for reducing food losses.

Mobile-based market information can help farmers and traders monitor:

- Prices;
- Demand;
- Market arrivals;
- Weather conditions; and
- Potential buyers.

Better coordination can reduce unnecessary transportation and improve matching between supply and demand.

Digital traceability systems can also help identify where quality deterioration occurs within a supply chain.

However, digital solutions should complement rather than replace improvements in physical infrastructure and post-harvest handling.

Market Gluts and Supply-Demand Management

Seasonal oversupply can create substantial post-harvest losses.

When vegetable arrivals exceed market demand, prices can fall sharply. Farmers may then face a difficult decision: sell at very low prices or leave part of the produce unharvested.

Possible strategies include:

- Staggered planting;
- Improved production forecasting;
- Contract marketing;
- Processing;
- Dehydration;
- Cold storage;
- Improved market information; and
- Development of institutional buyers.

Processing can convert highly perishable vegetables into products with longer shelf life.

Role of Processing

Processing can reduce dependence on immediate fresh-market consumption.

Potential products include:

- Tomato puree;
- Tomato paste;
- Dehydrated vegetables;
- Frozen vegetables;
- Pickles;
- Sauces;
- Vegetable powders; and
- Minimally processed products.

Processing should be based on food-safety requirements, market demand and economic feasibility.

Small-scale processing can also provide rural employment and additional income opportunities.

Integrated Loss-Reduction Framework

An effective post-harvest strategy should operate across the entire supply chain.

Stage 1: Production

- Select appropriate varieties.
- Improve crop management.
- Plan harvesting according to market demand.

Stage 2: Harvest

- Harvest at appropriate maturity.
- Use suitable tools.
- Avoid unnecessary impact.

Stage 3: Collection

- Use clean containers.
- Keep produce shaded.
- Minimize repeated handling.

Stage 4: Packaging

- Use suitable ventilated containers.
- Avoid excessive stacking.
- Separate damaged produce.

Stage 5: Transportation

- Reduce travel time.
- Protect produce from heat.
- Use suitable vehicles and stacking arrangements.

Stage 6: Storage

- Maintain commodity-specific temperature and humidity.
- Monitor sanitation.
- Avoid prolonged storage of highly perishable commodities.

Stage 7: Marketing

- Improve demand forecasting.
- Coordinate market arrivals.
- Develop alternative markets for lower-grade produce.

Stage 8: Processing

- Convert suitable surplus into value-added products.
- Establish food-safety and quality-control systems.

Proposed Model for Smallholder Vegetable Supply Chains

A practical model for smallholder regions can be organized around collection centres.

Farmer level

Farmers harvest carefully and transport vegetables in reusable crates.

↓

Village collection centre

Vegetables are:

- Weighed;
- Graded;
- Sorted;
- Temporarily cooled;
- Packaged; and
- Aggregated.

↓

Transport hub

Consolidated shipments are transported using suitable vehicles.

↓

Wholesale/retail market

Products are distributed according to market demand.

↓

Processing outlet

Surplus or lower-grade but safe produce is diverted to processing.

↓

Consumer

Fresh and processed vegetables reach consumers with reduced unnecessary loss.

This approach can reduce repeated handling and improve coordination among smallholder producers.

Challenges in Implementing Loss-Reduction Technologies

Although post-harvest technologies can reduce losses, implementation may be constrained by:

1. High initial investment;
2. Unreliable electricity;
3. Inadequate rural roads;
4. Limited technical knowledge;
5. Insufficient cold-chain infrastructure;
6. Lack of maintenance facilities;
7. Fragmented production;
8. Limited access to finance;
9. Weak market coordination; and
10. Inadequate quality standards.

Technologies should therefore be evaluated not only on technical effectiveness but also on affordability, accessibility, energy requirements and compatibility with local supply chains.

Recommendations

Based on the case-study analysis, the following interventions are recommended:

Farm-level interventions

Farmers should receive training in harvesting maturity, careful handling, sorting and temporary storage.

Low-cost cooling

Affordable cooling systems should be developed for villages and primary collection centres.

Improved packaging

Rigid, stackable and ventilated containers should progressively replace packaging systems that expose produce to excessive compression and impact.

Supply-chain coordination

Farmers, traders, transporters and retailers should coordinate production and market information to reduce oversupply and unnecessary handling.

Cold-chain investment

Cold-chain infrastructure should be prioritized for commodities and markets where the expected reduction in losses justifies the cost.

Processing facilities

Small- and medium-scale processing units should be developed near production areas where surplus vegetables are regularly generated.

Research and extension

Research should focus on commodity-specific loss measurement, low-cost technologies, improved packaging and locally appropriate storage systems.

Conclusion

Post-harvest losses in vegetable supply chains represent a complex technical, economic and institutional problem. Losses can occur from the moment vegetables are harvested until they reach consumers, with mechanical injury, temperature abuse, water loss, microbial deterioration, inadequate packaging, transportation problems and market gluts acting as major contributing factors.

The case of tomato illustrates how losses can accumulate through repeated handling, exposure to high temperatures, inadequate packaging and delays throughout the marketing chain. Similar mechanisms occur in other highly perishable vegetables, although the specific sensitivity of each commodity differs.

Reducing post-harvest losses requires an integrated supply-chain approach. Improved harvesting practices, appropriate packaging, low-cost cooling, cold-chain infrastructure, efficient transportation, processing, market information and supply-chain coordination can collectively improve outcomes.

Importantly, technology alone cannot solve the problem. Successful loss reduction requires alignment among farmers, traders, transporters, retailers, processors, researchers and policymakers. Interventions should be designed according to local commodities, climate, infrastructure, economic conditions and market structures.

Reducing vegetable losses has the potential to increase the effective food supply, improve farmer and trader returns, conserve agricultural resources and reduce the environmental costs associated with producing food that is never consumed. For these reasons, post-harvest management should be treated as an integral component of sustainable agricultural development rather than as a separate activity occurring after production.

References

1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. The state of food and agriculture 2019: moving forward on food loss and waste reduction. Rome: FAO; 2019.
2. FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, WHO. The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2023. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2023.
3. Kader AA. Increasing food availability by reducing postharvest losses of fresh produce. *Acta Hortic.* 2005;682:2169-2176.

- doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2005.682.296.
4. Kader AA. Postharvest technology of horticultural crops. 3rd ed. Oakland: University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources; 2002.
5. Kitinoja L, Kader AA. Small-scale postharvest handling practices: a manual for horticultural crops. 4th ed. Davis: University of California, Davis; 2003.
6. Hodges RJ, Buzby JC, Bennett B. Postharvest losses and waste in developed and less developed countries: opportunities to improve resource use. *J Agric Sci.* 2011;149(S1):37-45. doi:10.1017/S0021859610000936.
7. Gustavsson J, Cederberg C, Sonesson U, van Otterdijk R, Meybeck A. Global food losses and food waste: extent, causes and prevention. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2011.
8. Affognon H, Mutungi C, Sanginga P, Borgemeister C. Unpacking postharvest losses in sub-Saharan Africa: a meta-analysis. *World Dev.* 2015;66:49-68. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.002.
9. Kitinoja L. Use of cold chains for reducing food losses in developing countries. PEF White Paper No. 13-03. La Pine: The Postharvest Education Foundation; 2013.
10. Parfitt J, Barthel M, Macnaughton S. Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2010;365(1554):3065-3081. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0126.
11. Mrema GC, Rolle RS. Status of the postharvest sector and its contribution to agricultural development and economic growth. In: Proceedings of the 9th JIRCAS International Symposium; 2002. Tsukuba: Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences; 2002.
12. Kitinoja L. Innovative small-scale postharvest technologies for reducing losses in horticultural crops. *Acta Hortic.* 2013;1006:673-681. doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.1006.89.
13. Yahia EM, editor. Postharvest technology of perishable horticultural commodities. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing; 2019.
14. Thompson AK. Fruit and vegetables: harvesting, handling and storage. 3rd ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2003.
15. Paull RE, Duarte O. Tropical fruits. 2nd ed. Wallingford: CABI; 2011.
16. United Nations Environment Programme. Food waste index report 2024. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme; 2024.
17. World Bank. Missing food: the case of postharvest grain losses in sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, DC: World Bank; 2011.
18. Bellemare MF, Çakır M, Peterson HH, Novak L, Rudi J. On the measurement of food waste. *Am J Agric Econ.* 2017;99(5):1148-1158. doi:10.1093/ajae/aax034.
19. Beretta C, Stoessel F, Baier U, Hellweg S. Quantifying food losses and the potential for reduction in Switzerland. *Waste Manag.* 2013;33(3):764-773. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2012.11.007.
20. Gustavsson J, Cederberg C, Sonesson U. Global food losses and food waste: extent, causes and prevention—study conducted for the International Congress SAVE FOOD! Rome: FAO; 2011.

How to Cite This Article

Portenkdro JS. Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetable Supply Chains: A Case Study. International Journal of Agriculture Development. 2025; 1(2): 17-23.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.